



## Maryland-DC Society of Addiction Medicine 2020 External Support Evaluation Form

**External Support Partner Applicant (ESPA):** \_\_\_\_\_

Level of Partnership Applied for: Bronze Silver Gold

Date of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Before initiating discussion:

Each member of the review committee must certify that they have no conflicts of interest with the above ESPA. Review committee members may abstain from a single ESPA evaluation but later participate in other evaluations during the same meeting. Review committee members with a reported conflict may not offer any comment on the ESPA under discussion.

Review Committee Members

Voting: \_\_\_\_\_

Abstaining: \_\_\_\_\_

Score the ESPA below. A response of "Yes" to Criteria A, with comparative weighing applied, automatically fails and ESPA applicant. Criteria B and C impact policies governing the ESPA relationship.

**Criteria A: Does the ESPA sell products or conduct their business in conflict with ASAM and MDDCSAM's mission and values?** Y N

A1: Sells products that harm patients (within context comparative weight)

A2 market in deceptive ways - (refer to ASAM external relationships policy for definitions)

A3: restrict access to evidence-based treatment or undermines scientific rigor- needs a definition

A4: evidence of misconduct

**Criteria B: Does the ESPA sell products or conduct that uniquely supports ASAM and MDDCSAM's missions and values? Does the organization promote equity or inclusion in their mission and/or work?** Y N

While not required, fulfillment of this criteria opens opportunities for greater collaboration between organizations.

**Criteria C: What potential conflicts of interest exist between current board members and the ESPA?**

C1: Do any Board Members have a reported conflict? (Requires review of Board Member COI forms. For detail on what constitutes a conflict, refer to MDDCSAM conflict of interest policy.) Y N

C2: If yes, list Board Members below: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_